



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

SIMONA A. LEVI-MINZI  
McDERMOTT, WILL & EMERY  
28 STATE STREET  
BOSTON, MA 02109-1775

**COPY MAILED**

JUN 03 2005

**OFFICE OF PETITIONS**

In re Application of	:
Kevin R. Stone	: DECISION ON PETITION
Application No. 10/722,869	: UNDER 37 CFR 1.78(a)(6)
Filed: November 26, 2003	:
Attorney Docket No. CROL-156 (56290-103)	:

This is a decision on the petition filed March 28, 2005, which is being treated under 37 CFR § 1.78(a)(6), to accept an unintentionally delayed claim under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) for the benefit of a prior-filed provisional Application No. 60/429,078, filed November 26, 2002, as set forth in the concurrently filed amendment.

The petition is **DISMISSED AS MOOT**.

A petition under 37 CFR § 1.78(a)(6) is only applicable to those applications filed on or after November 29, 2000.

Along with the instant petition under 37 CFR § 1.78(a)(6), petitioner has submitted an amendment to the first sentence of the specification following the title to include a reference to the prior-filed application.

The instant pending nonprovisional application was filed on November 26, 2003, and was pending at the time of filing of the instant petition. Reference to the prior-filed application was made in the transmittal letter filed with the instant application.

The current procedure where a claim for priority under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(6) is not included in the first sentence of the specification or in an ADS but does appear either in the oath or declaration or a transmittal letter filed with the application and the Office notes the claim for priority, no petition will be required to accept a late claim for priority. This is because the application would have been scheduled for publication on the basis of the information concerning the claim submitted elsewhere in the application within the time period set forth in 37 CFR § 1.78(a)(5)(ii). However, on the other hand, if the USPTO does not note the claim for priority to the prior-filed application(s) set forth in the oath or declaration or transmittal letter submitted with the application, a petition will be required to accept a late claim for priority under 37 CFR § 1.78(a)(6).<sup>1</sup> In the instant case, the Office noted the claim for priority of the above-noted, prior-filed application in the transmittal letter filed with the application, as shown by its inclusion on the filing receipt.

---


<sup>1</sup> Note MPEP 201.11 (V), page 200-75 (Rev. 1. Feb. 2004 and 66 Federal Register 67087 at 67089 (Dec. 28, 2001), effective December 28, 2001.

In view of the above, the \$1,370 petition fee submitted is unnecessary and will be credited to petitioner's deposit account in due course.

The Associate Power of Attorney filed on March 28, 2005, was filed after June 25, 2004, the effective date of a rule change eliminating the Associate Power of Attorney practice (37 CFR 1.34(b)). The paper has been placed in the official file; however, the name of the patent practitioner listed on the Associate Power of Attorney has **not** been made of record.<sup>2</sup>

Any questions concerning this decision on petition may be directed to Wan Laymon at (571) 272-3220. All other inquiries concerning either the examination procedures or status of the application should be directed to the Technology Center.

This matter is being referred to the Examiner of Technology Center AU 1653 for appropriate action on the amendment filed March 28, 2005, including consideration of the claim under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) for the benefit of priority to prior-filed provisional Application No. 60/429,078.

  
Frances Hicks  
Lead Petitions Examiner  
Office of Petitions  
Office of the Deputy Commissioner  
for Patent Examination Policy

---

<sup>2</sup> See Official Gazette of June 22, 2004